

FENWICK & WEST LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

SUSAN S. MUCK (CSB NO. 126930)
smuck@fenwick.com
DEAN S. KRISTY (CSB NO. 157646)
dkristy@fenwick.com
JENNIFER L. KELLY (CSB NO. 193416)
jkelly@fenwick.com
LESLIE KRAMER (CSB NO. 253313)
lkramer@fenwick.com
FENWICK & WEST LLP
555 California Street
12th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 875-2300
Facsimile: (415) 281-1350
Attorneys for Defendants ShoreTel, Inc.; John W.
Combs; Michael E. Healy; Edwin J. Basart; Gary J.
Daichendt; Thomas Van Overbeek; Kenneth D.
Denman; Charles D. Kissner; and Edward F.
Thompson

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

In re SHORETEL, INC.
SECURITIES LITIGATION

Case No. C-08-00271-CRB

**STIPULATION AND ~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER
FURTHER EXTENDING TIME FOR
DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND TO SECOND
AMENDED CONSOLIDATED CLASS
ACTION COMPLAINT**

This Document Relates To:

ALL ACTIONS.

WHEREAS, on March 2, 2009, Plaintiffs Loren Swanson and Art Landesman
(collectively, "Plaintiffs") filed their Second Amended Consolidated Class Action Complaint (the
"SACC") against Defendants ShoreTel, Inc. ("ShoreTel"); John W. Combs, Michael E. Healy,
Edwin J. Basart, Gary J. Daichendt, Thomas Van Overbeek, Kenneth D. Denman, Charles D.
Kissner, and Edward Thompson (the "Individual Defendants"); and Lehman Brothers Inc. and

J.P. Morgan Securities, Inc. (the “Underwriter Defendants”) (collectively, “Defendants”);

WHEREAS, this action is stayed as to Lehman Brothers Inc. as a result of its liquidation under the Securities Investor Protection Act;

WHEREAS, pursuant to the remaining parties’ stipulation, approved by this Court on March 23, 2009, Defendants’ current deadline for responding to the SACC is May 1, 2009;

WHEREAS, the parties have discussed and agreed to further extend Defendants’ deadline for responding to the SACC to June 1, 2009;

ACCORDINGLY, the parties, by and through their counsel of record, hereby stipulate that the new deadline for Defendants to respond to the SACC is June 1, 2009.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: April 8, 2009

FENWICK & WEST LLP

By: /s/ Jennifer L. Kelly

Jennifer L. Kelly

Attorneys for Defendants ShoreTel, Inc.;
John W. Combs; Michael E. Healy;
Edwin J. Basart; Gary J. Daichendt;
Thomas Van Overbeek; Kenneth D. Denman;
Charles D. Kissner; and Edward F. Thompson

Dated: April 8, 2009

BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP

By: /s/ Charlene S. Shimada

Charlene S. Shimada

Attorneys for Defendant J.P. Morgan
Securities Inc.

Dated: April 8, 2009

HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

By: /s/ Peter E. Borkon

Peter E. Borkon

Attorneys for Lead Plaintiffs and the Class

FENWICK & WEST LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO


[PROPOSED] ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 13, 2009

By: _____

The Honorable Charles R. Breyer
United States District Court



FENWICK & WEST LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO